

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

**Patent and Trademark Office** 

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** 09/123,614 07/28/98 MIDDLEMAN 12032 L **EXAMINER** QM12/0204 SHELDON & MARK RODRIGUEZ, C ATTN KARIN E PETERKS PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 225 SOUTH LAKE AVENUE 9TH FLOOR 3763

PASADENA CA 91101

**DATE MAILED:** 

02/04/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or pr ceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_1 1
Office Action Summary	09/123,614	Middle war	et of
	Examiner	Group Art Unit	
		<u> 3763</u>	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet b	eneath the correspondence a	nddress
P riod for Reply	2		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MA	ILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defau</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st</li> </ul>	reply within the statutory minimalt, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	num of thirty (30) days will be conside in the mailing date of this communicat	red timely. tion .
Status ,	,		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on//_/	199		•
☐ This action is FINAL.	,		
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19</li> </ul>			osed in
Disp sition of Claims			
XClaim(s) 1-23		is/are pending in the ap	plication.
Claim(s) $1-23$ Of the above claim(s) $3-6, 12-21+3$		is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
$\times$ Claim(s) 1, 2, 7-11 + 22		is/are rejected.	
·	·	is/are objected to.	
☐ Claim(s)			
☐ Claim(s)			or election
□ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction requirement.	or election
□ Claim(s)	·		or election
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers	ing Review, PTO-948.	requirement.	or election
<ul> <li>□ Claim(s)</li> <li>Application Papers</li> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw</li> </ul>	ing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	requirement.	or election
<ul> <li>□ Claim(s)</li> <li>Application Papers</li> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li> </ul>	ing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	requirement.	or election
☐ Claim(s)  Application Papers  ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are objection	ing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved ected to by the Examiner.	requirement.	or election
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	ing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved ected to by the Examiner.	requirement.	or election
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	ing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-	requirement.  in disapproved.  (d).	or election
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	ing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved ected to by the Examiner.  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents he	requirement.  □ disapproved.  (d).  ave been	or election
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received.	ing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents had	requirement.  □ disapproved.  (d).  ave been	or election
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	ing Review, PTO-948 is	requirement.  disapproved.  (d).  ave been  Rule 1 7.2(a)).	or election
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num □ received in this national stage application from the Interval of the Certified copies not received:	ing Review, PTO-948 is	requirement.  disapproved.  (d).  ave been  Rule 1 7.2(a)).	or election
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num □ received in this national stage application from the Interval of the content of the content of the content of the code of th	ing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved ected to by the Examiner.  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents haber) nternational Bureau (PCT formational Bureau)	requirement.  disapproved.  (d).  ave been  Rule 1 7.2(a)).	or election
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num □ received in this national stage application from the Interest of the Series of the Certified copies not received:  Attachment(s)	ing Review, PTO-948 is approved ected to by the Examiner.  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents haber) nternational Bureau (PCT F	requirement.  disapproved.  (d).  ave been  Rule 1 7.2(a)).	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. \_\_\_\_\_\_

Application/Control Number: 09/123,614

Art Unit: 3763

#### **DETAILED** ACTION

#### Election/Restriction

Applicant's election with traverse of species B)figure 5 claims 1, 2, 7-11 and 22 in Paper 1. No. 4 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that generic claim 1 includes sufficiently few species that a search and examination of the species A-F would have not impose a serious burden on the examiner. This is found in part persuasive because the Examiner agrees with Applicant that claim 1 is generic to claims 1-13 and 22 at this moment. However, the Examiner disagrees in that the search and examination of the species A-F would have not impose a serious burden on the examiner. According to the MPEP 806.04(e), claims may be restricted to a single disclosed embodiment (i.e. a single species, and thus be designated a specific species claim ), or a claim may include two or more of the disclosed embodiments within the breadth and scope of definition (and thus be designated a generic or genus claim). Species are always the specifically different embodiments. In section 806.04(f), set forth a test to check for mutually exclusive characteristics: "Claims to be restricted to different species must be mutually exclusive. The general test as to when claims are restricted, respectively, to different species is the fact that one claim recites limitations which under the disclosure are found in a first species but not in a second, while a second claim recites limitations disclosed only for the second species and not the first. This is frequently expressed by saying that claims to be restricted to different species, must recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species." This test has being applied to the claims

Application/Control Number: 09/123,614 Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

and have been found that the claims are mutually exclusive. Therefore, as being drawn to independent species could have been a burden for the examiner for search and examination.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 3-6, 12-21 and 23 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected species, the requirement having been traversed in Paper No. 5.

3. Please, note that claims 3-6, 12 and 13 have been withdrawn by the Examiner as being drawn to a non-elected species.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 10, 11, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hayman et al(5,267,960).

Hayman et al disclose a device comprising a tubular element having a flexible, elongated hollow tubular lumen 15, a deployment means 13, and a plurality of resilient anchoring members 21,23 as claimed.

j

Application/Control Number: 09/123,614

Art Unit: 3763

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayman et al in view of Cathcart et al(5,681,347).

Hayman et al disclose a back bone wire 25(guidewire) substantially as claimed. However, Hayman et al does not disclose a collar member coupled to the distal end of the guidewire.

- Cathcart et al teaches a guidewire 17,31 having a collar 20 coupled to the distal end of the guidewire for support and displacement of the inner tubular member. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hayman et al by providing the collar to the back bone wire 25(guidewire) as shown by Cathcart et al in order to provide support and displacement to the inner member.
- 9. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayman et al in view of Abrams(5,492,119).

Hayman et al disclose the invention substantially as claimed. However, Hayman et al does not disclose the anchoring members comprising a pseudo elastic material, and being nickel titanium alloy.

y

Application/Control Number: 09/123,614

Art Unit: 3763

Abrams teaches a catheter apparatus comprising control wires having curved feet made of nitinol for anchoring purposes. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hayman et al by providing the pseudo elastic material nitinol as well known by Abrams for anchoring purposes.

### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Green et al., Lefebvre, Suma, Clark et al., Bar-Cohen et al, Sachdeva et al., Chin et al, Schreiner, Kirkman, Ortiz et al., Yoon et al., and Laptewicz et al all disclose devices analogous to that as claimed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cris L. Rodriguez whose telephone number is (703) 308-2194. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (703) 308-2111. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3590.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

January 31, 2000

CORRINE McDERMOTT PRIMARY EXAMINER